arXiv:1103.4040v2 [math.FA] 29 May 2012

COINCIDENCE RESULTS FOR SUMMING MULTILINEAR MAPPINGS

Oscar Blasco*, Geraldo Botelho†, Daniel Pellegrino‡ and Pilar Rueda§

Abstract

In this paper we prove coincidence results concerning spaces of absolutely summing multilinear mappings between Banach spaces. The nature of these results arises from two distinct approaches: the coincidence of two different classes of summing multilinear mappings and the summability of all multilinear mappings defined on products of Banach spaces. To achieve our purpose, we introduce and explore techniques to extend coincidence results from linear, bilinear and even trilinear mappings to general multilinear ones.

Introduction

The theory of absolutely summing multilinear mappings between Banach spaces has its root in the research program designed by A. Pietsch in [23], as an attempt to generalize the linear operator theory to a multilinear context. The theory of summing mappings has produced concepts and techniques that have found applications to other fields. For example, this is the case of *cotype*, that has been used in the study of the maximal domain of convergence of vector-valued Dirichlet series [15].

By definition, absolutely summing multilinear mappings improve the summability of sequences in Banach spaces and this is why many researchers have focussed much of their interest on the study of these mappings (R. Alencar, H.-A. Braunss, D. Carando, A. Defant, V. Dimant, K. Floret, H. Jarchow, H. Junek, M. Matos, Y. Meléndez, C. Palazuelos, D. Pérez-García, D. Popa, B. Schneider, U. Schwarting, A. Tonge, I. Villanueva, among others). The general purpose of these studies is to obtain and improve summability conditions for multilinear mappings. A desirable result in the theory is what has been called in the literature a *coincidence result*. This consists in finding examples of Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n, F$, or general conditions on them, that ensure a good summability behavior of every continuous n-linear mapping from $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$ to F or improve the summability

---

*Supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación MTM2008-04594/MTM.

†Supported by CNPq Grant 306981/2008-4 and INCT-Matemática.

‡Supported by INCT-Matemática, PROCAD-NF-Capes, CNPq Grant 301237/2009-3.

§Supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación MTM2011-22417 .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46G25; Secondary 47B10.

Keywords: absolutely summing, projective tensor product, Cohen summing, multilinear mapping.

behavior of those mappings that already enjoy some summing property. A cornerstone in the linear theory is Grothendieck's theorem, that asserts that every continuous linear operator from ℓ_1 to ℓ_2 is absolutely summing. Grothendieck's theorem has been a permanent source of inspiration in the search of linear and multilinear coincidence results. The Defant-Voigt Theorem (see [10, Corollary 3.2], [22, Proposition 17.5.1] or [3], where an improved version can be found) stating that any continuous multilinear functional is absolutely $(1; 1, \ldots, 1)$-summing is probably the first coincidence result for multilinear mappings. We mention a few more examples. The fact that bilinear forms on either an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space or the disc algebra or the Hardy space are 2-dominated was proved in [5, Theorem 3.3] and [9, Proposition 2.1], respectively. In [3, Theorem 3.7] the authors use this bilinear coincidence to show that all $n-$linear forms defined on a product $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$ of Banach spaces is $(1; 2, \ldots, 2)-$summing whenever $E_1 = E_2$ and each E_j is either an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$-space, the disc algebra $\mathcal{A}$ or the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^\infty$. It is worth mentioning that this situation of lifting properties from bilinear to multilinear mappings is non-trivial in many cases. Indeed, it is not true that the multilinear theory follows by induction from the linear case. Many examples of the difficulties of lifting the linear theory to the multilinear setting can be found in the literature (see, e.g., [18]).

This paper is concerned with coincidence results in the theory of absolutely summing mappings. Section 1 is devoted to fix the notation and recall some definitions and basic facts. In Section 2 we find conditions on Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ and on indices $p, p_1, \ldots, p_n, q, q_1, \ldots, q_n$ to ensure that $(p; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ and $(q; q_1, \ldots, q_n)$ summing mappings coincide. For reasonable indices, this is the case if every continuous linear operator from $\ell_{p_i'}$ to E_i is $(r_i; r_i)-$summing. Applying the results of this section we get in Corollary 2.7 an optimal generalization of results of [8, 18, 24]. In Section 3 we get conditions that ensure that all continuous multilinear mappings on Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ are $(q; q_1, \ldots, q_n)$-summing. We show how to lift summability properties of bilinear mappings defined on $E_{2i-1} \times E_{2i}$ to the $n-$linear mappings defined on $E_1 \times \ldots \times E_n$. We prove that if any bilinear form defined on E^2 is $(1; r, r)-$summing and any trilinear mapping on E^3 is $(1; r, r, r)-$summing, $1 \leq r \leq 2$, then any $n-$linear mapping is $(1; r, \ldots, r)-$summing. We also characterize when all multilinear mappings are $(q; q_1, \ldots, q_n)-$summing by means of projective tensor products of vector valued sequences spaces. Equivalence with Cohen summability and Littlewood-Orlicz property is also provided.

1 Notation and background

All Banach spaces are considered over the scalar field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Given a Banach space E, let B_E denote the closed unit ball of E and E' its topological dual.

Let $p > 0$. By $\ell_p(E)$ we denote the (p-)Banach space of all absolutely p-summable sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in E endowed with its usual ℓ_p-norm (p-norm if $0 < p < 1$). Let $\ell_p^w(E)$ be the space of those sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in E such that $(\varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p$ for every $\varphi \in E'$ endowed with the norm (p-norm if $0 < p < 1$)

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_p^w(E)} = \sup_{\varphi \in B_{E'}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\varphi(x_j)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Let $\ell_p^u(E)$ denote the closed subspace of $\ell_p^w(E)$ formed by the sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E)$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|(x_j)_{j=k}^\infty\|_{\ell_p^w(E)} = 0$.

Let $E_1, \ldots, E_n, E, F$ be Banach spaces. The Banach space of all continuous n-linear mappings from $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$ into F is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ and endowed with the usual sup norm. We simply write $\mathcal{L}({}^nE; F)$ when $E_1 = \cdots = E_n = E$.

For $0 < p, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \leq \infty$, we assume that $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_n}$. An $n-$linear mapping $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ is *absolutely* $(p; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$*-summing* if there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(A(x_j^1, x_j^2, \ldots, x_j^n))_{j=1}^k\|_p \leq C \prod_{i=1}^n \|(x_j^i)_{j=1}^k\|_{\ell_{p_i}^w(E_i)} \tag{1}$$

for all finite families of vectors $x_1^i, \ldots, x_k^i \in E_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The infimum of such $C > 0$ is called the $(p; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$-summing norm of A and is denoted by $\pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(A)$. Let $\Pi_{(p;p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ denote the space of all absolutely $(p; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$-summing n-linear mappings from $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$ to F endowed with the norm $\pi_{(p;p_1\ldots,p_n)}$.

It is well known that we can replace $\ell_{p_k}^w(E_k)$ with $\ell_{p_k}^u(E_k)$ in the definition of absolutely summing mappings.

Absolutely summing mappings fulfill the following inclusion result, which appears in [21, Proposition 3.3] (see also [3]), and will be used several times in this paper:

Theorem 1.1. (Inclusion Theorem) *Let $0 < q \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < q_j \leq p_j \leq \infty$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. If $\frac{1}{q_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_n} - \frac{1}{p}$, then*

$$\Pi_{(q;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F) \subset \Pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$$

and $\pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)} \leq \pi_{(q;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}$ for all Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n, F$.

If $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_n}$, absolutely $(p; p_1, \ldots, p_n)$-summing n-linear mappings are usually called $(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$*-dominated.* They satisfy the following factorization result (see [23, Theorem 13]):

Theorem 1.2. (Factorization Theorem) *Let $p, p_1, \ldots, p_n > 0$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_n}$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ be given. Then $A \in \Pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ if and only if there are Banach spaces $G_1, \ldots, G_n$, operators $u_j \in \Pi_{(p_j;p_j)}(E_j; G_j)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(G_1, \ldots, G_n; F)$ such that $A = B \circ (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$.*

We denote by $\ell_p\langle E\rangle$ the Banach spaces of sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in E such that

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_p\langle E\rangle} = \sup\left\{\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\langle x_j, y_j^*\rangle| : \|(y_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{p'}^w(E')} = 1\right\} < \infty.$$

Obviously one has

$$\ell_p\langle E\rangle \subset \ell_p(E) \subset \ell_p^w(E).$$

The space $\ell_p\langle E\rangle$ was first introduced in [13] and it has been recently described in different ways (see [1] for a description as the space of integral operators from $\ell_{p'}$ into X or [12] and [17] for the identification with the projective tensor product $\ell_p\hat{\otimes}_\pi E$). In the particular case of dual spaces, using the weak principle of local reflexivity (see [14, page 73])) one has that a sequence $(x_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty$ in E' belongs to $\ell_p\langle E'\rangle$ if

$$\|(x_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_p\langle E'\rangle} = \sup\left\{\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\langle x_j^*, y_j\rangle| : \|y_j\|_{\ell_{p'}^w(E)} = 1\right\} < \infty. \tag{2}$$

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E;F)$ is *Cohen* (p,q) *summing* if there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |\langle T(x_j), y_j^*\rangle| \le C\|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{\ell_q^w(E)} \cdot \|(y_j^*)_{j=1}^m\|_{\ell_{p'}^w(F')} \tag{3}$$

for any finite sequences $x_1,\dots,x_m \in E$ and $y_1^*,\dots,y_m^* \in F'$. $C_{(p;q)}(E;F)$ denotes the space of Cohen (p,q) summing operators with the norm given by the infimum of the constants satisfying (3). This notion was introduced by Cohen [13] for $p = q$. Clearly $C_{(p;q)}(E;F) \subset \Pi_{(p;q)}(E;F)$ and, due to (2), $T \in C_{(p;q)}(E;F')$ if there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |\langle T(x_j), y_j\rangle| \le C\|(x_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{\ell_q^w(E)}\|(y_j)_{j=1}^m\|_{\ell_{p'}^w(F)}. \tag{4}$$

For the basic theory of type and cotype in Banach spaces we refer to [16, Chapter 11].

A Banach space E is said to have the *Orlicz property* q, $2 \le q < \infty$, if the identity operator $Id\colon E \longrightarrow E$ is absolutely $(q,1)$-summing, that is, if $\ell_1^w(E) \subset \ell_q(E)$. Clearly cotype q implies Orlicz property q. Some deep results by M. Talagrand [26, 27] shows that for $q > 2$ both notions actually coincide while this is not the case for $q = 2$.

Recall that a Banach space E has the *Littlewood-Orlicz property* if $\ell_1^w(E) \subset \ell_2\hat{\otimes}_\pi E$, i.e. $Id\colon E \longrightarrow E$ is Cohen $(2,1)$-summing. The reader is referred to [11, Section 4] for a related concept of Littlewood-Orlicz operator.

One of the basic questions in the theory of absolutely summing operators is to analyze when $\mathcal{L}(X,Y) = \Pi_{(p;q)}(X,Y)$. A typical result in this direction is Grothendieck's theorem:

$$\mathcal{L}(\ell_1;\ell_2) = \Pi_{(1;1)}(\ell_1;\ell_2) \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \mathcal{L}(c_0;\ell_1) = \Pi_{(2;2)}(c_0;\ell_1). \tag{5}$$

2 Coincidences between spaces of summing multilinear mappings

It is well known that cotype plays a fundamental role in coincidence results for linear and nonlinear operators. For example, if E has cotype 2 then $\Pi_{(1,1)}(E;F) = \Pi_{(2,2)}(E;F)$ for any Banach space F [16, Corollary 11.16(a)]. Our first attempt to lift this result to the multilinear setting yields the following result, that appeared in essence in [20, Theorem 16]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $E_1,\dots,E_n$ be cotype 2 spaces. Then*

$$\Pi_{(\frac{1}{n};1,\dots,1)}(E_1,\dots,E_n;F) = \Pi_{(\frac{2}{n};2,\dots,2)}(E_1,\dots,E_n;F)$$

for every Banach space F.

Proof. One inclusion follows from the Inclusion Theorem 1.1. To prove the other direction, take $A \in \Pi_{(\frac{2}{n};2,\ldots,2)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$ and let $(x_i^j)_{i=1}^\infty \in \ell_1^w(E_j)$, $j=1,\ldots,n$. By the Factorization Theorem 1.2 there are Banach spaces $G_1,\ldots,G_n$, operators $u_j \in \Pi_{(2;2)}(E_j;G_j)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(G_1,\ldots,G_n;F)$ such that $A = B \circ (u_1,\ldots,u_n)$. Since E_j has cotype 2, by [16, Corollary 11.16(a)] we have that $\Pi_{(1;1)}(E_j;G_j) = \Pi_{(2;2)}(E_j;G_j)$. Now, the Factorization Theorem 1.2 allows us to conclude that $A \in \Pi_{(\frac{1}{n};1,\ldots,1)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$. □

We aim to prove a more general result. The idea is to lift linear coincidences of the type $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;E) = \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_p;E)$ to coincidences results for multilinear mappings on E^n. Let us see first where such linear coincidences can be found. The following lemma (see [1, Lemma 3]) is a first step. A proof is included here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $1 < p < r < \infty$ *and let* E *be a Banach space. Then* $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{p'};E) = \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_{p'};E)$ *if and only if* $\ell_p^w(E) = \ell_r \ell_s^w(E)$, *where* $1/r + 1/s = 1/p$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{p'};E) = \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_{p'};E)$ and take $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E)$. Define $u\colon \ell_{p'} \longrightarrow E$ by $u(e_j) = x_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $u \in \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_{p'};E)$, by [16, Lemma 2.23] there exist $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_r$ and $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_s^w(E)$ such that $u(e_j) = x_j = \alpha_j y_j$ for every j.

Conversely, assume that $\ell_p^w(E) = \ell_r \ell_s^w(E)$ and let $u \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_{p'};E)$ be given. Put $x_j = u(e_j)$ for every j. Since $(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(\ell_{p'})$, we have $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(E)$. Hence $x_j = \alpha_j y_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_r$ and $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_s^w(E)$. Define now $v\colon \ell_{p'} \longrightarrow \ell_{s'}$ by $v(e_j) = \alpha_j e_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $w\colon \ell_{s'} \longrightarrow E$ by $w(e_j) = y_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that $v = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j e_j \otimes e_j$ with $(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_r^w(\ell_{p'})$ because $p < r$ and $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_r$. So v is r-nuclear (see [16, p. 112]) and therefore $v \in \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_{p'};\ell_{s'})$. Finally $u = w \circ v \in \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_{p'};E)$. □

Theorem 2.3. *For* $i=1,\ldots,n$, *let* $1 < p_i, r_i, s_i < \infty$ *with* $1/r_i = 1/p_i - 1/s_i$ *and let* E_i *be a Banach space such that* $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{p_i'};E_i) = \Pi_{(r_i;r_i)}(\ell_{p_i'};E_i)$. *If* $p \le q$ *and* $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{s_i} - \frac{1}{q} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p}$, *then*

$$\Pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F) = \Pi_{(q;s_1,\ldots,s_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$$

for every Banach space F.

Proof. The inclusion

$$\Pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F) \subset \Pi_{(q;s_1,\ldots,s_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$$

follows from the Inclusion Theorem 1.1. Let us suppose that $A \in \Pi_{(q;s_1,\ldots,s_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$ and let the sequences $(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{p_i}^w(E_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, be given. From Lemma 2.2 we know that $\ell_{p_i}^w(E_i) = \ell_{r_i}\ell_{s_i}^w(E_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Hence there are sequences $(\alpha_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{r_i}$ and $(y_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{s_i}^w(E_i)$ such that $(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty = (\alpha_j^i y_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. In this fashion, $(\alpha_j^1 \cdots \alpha_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{r_1}\cdots\ell_{r_n} = \ell_r$, where $1/r = \sum_{j=1}^n 1/r_j$, and $(A(y_j^1,\ldots,y_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_q(F)$. Since $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p}$ it follows that

$$(A(x_j^1,\ldots,x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty = (\alpha_j^1 \cdots \alpha_j^k A(y_j^1,\ldots,y_j^k,x_j^{k+1},\ldots,x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(F).$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < s < \infty$, $1/r = 1/p - 1/s$ *and let* E *be a Banach space such that* $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{p'}; E) = \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_{p'}; E)$. *Then*

$$\Pi_{(q_1;p\ldots p)}(^n E; F) = \Pi_{(q_2;s,\ldots,s)}(^n E; F)$$

whenever $q_1 \leq q_2$, $1/q_1 - 1/q_2 = n/r$ *and* F *is a Banach space.*

Let us discuss the assumption $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{p'}; E) = \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_{p'}; E)$ of the two results above. It is well known that if E has cotype 2 then $\mathcal{L}(c_0; E) = \Pi_{2;2}(c_0; E))$ (see [16, page 224]). In order to understand better this type of linear coincidences, let us recall the following definitions from [22, Sections 16.4, 20.1]: Let E be a Banach space, $0 < p \leq s \leq \infty$ and r be determined by $1/r + 1/s = 1/p$.

- A sequence $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in E is called *mixed* $(s,p)-$*summable* if it can be written in the form $x_j = \alpha_j y_j$ with $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_r$ and $(y_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_s^w(E)$.
- An operator $u\colon E \longrightarrow F$ is called $(s,p)-$*mixing* if every weakly $p-$summable sequence in E is mapped into an $(s,p)-$mixed summable sequence in F. $\mathcal{M}_{(s,p)}$ denotes the ideal of $(s,p)-$mixing operators.

From the definition, if id_E is the identity operator on the Banach space E, then $id_E \in \mathcal{M}_{(s,p)}(E; E)$ if and only if $\ell_p^w(E) = \ell_r \ell_s^w(E)$, where $1/r + 1/s = 1/p$. In [22, Sections 20.3.1] it is proved that, for $s \geq 1$, $\mathcal{M}_{(s,p)} = \Pi_{(s,s)}^{-1} \circ \Pi_{(p,p)}$ which relates, in a very strong way, mixing operators with absolutely summing operators. Actually it says that the identity id_E is $(s,p)-$mixing if and only if $\Pi_{(s,s)}(E; F) = \Pi_{(p,p)}(E; F)$ for all Banach space F. In other words, $\ell_p^w(E) = \ell_r \ell_s^w(E)$ if and only if $\Pi_{(s,s)}(E; F) = \Pi_{(p,p)}(E; F)$ for all Banach space F.

Now, in order to find examples of Banach spaces E for which $\ell_p^w(E) = \ell_r \ell_s^w(E)$, we look for cotype:

- If E has cotype 2 then $\Pi_{(s;s)}(E; F) = \Pi_{(p;p)}(E; F)$ for every Banach space F, whenever $1 \leq p \leq s \leq 2$. In this case $\ell_p^w(E) = \ell_r \ell_s^w(E)$.
- If E has cotype s, $2 < s < \infty$, then $\Pi_{(q;q)}(E; F) = \Pi_{(p;p)}(E; F)$ for every Banach space F, whenever $1 \leq p \leq q < s'$. In this case $\ell_p^w(E) = \ell_r \ell_q^w(E)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* E *be a Banach space with finite cotype* s, *and let* $p > s$. *Then* $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p; E) = \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_p; E)$ *for every* $r > \frac{sp}{p-s}$.

Proof. Let $r > \frac{sp}{p-s} = \frac{s'p'}{s'-p'}$. Note that $r > p' > 1$ and that taking q given by $1/q = 1/p' - 1/r$ we have $p' < q < s'$. By the discussion above we have $\ell_{p'}^w(E) = \ell_r \ell_q^w(E)$. So Lemma 2.2 gives $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p; E) = \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_p; E)$. $\square$

Let us illustrate Theorem 2.3 by means of the following example.

Example 2.6. Let $E = \ell_2$ and $p = 4/3$ (hence $p' = 4$). From Proposition 2.5 we have $\mathcal{L}(\ell_4; \ell_2) = \Pi_{(r;r)}(\ell_4; \ell_2)$ for $r > 4$. So,

$$\Pi_{(q_1;4/3,\ldots,4/3)}(^n \ell_2; F) = \Pi_{(q_2;s,\ldots,s)}(^n \ell_2; F)$$

whenever $q_1 \leq q_2$, $1/q_1 - 1/q_2 = n/r$, $4/3 < s < 2$ and F is a Banach space. For example, taking

$$q_1 = 2,\ q_2 = 4,\ r = 8,\ n = 2,\ s = 8/5,$$

we have

$$\Pi_{(2;4/3,4/3)}({}^2\ell_2;F)=\Pi_{(4;8/5,8/5)}({}^2\ell_2;F),$$

for every Banach space F.

Recently, in [18, Theorem 3 and Remark 2], [24, Corollary 4.6] and [8, Theorem 3.8 (ii)] it was proved that if $E_1,\ldots,E_n$ are Banach spaces with cotype c and $n\geq 2$ then:

(i) If $c=2$, then

$$\Pi_{(q;q,\ldots,q)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)\subset\Pi_{(p;p,\ldots,p)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F) \tag{6}$$

holds for $1\leq p\leq q\leq 2$.

(ii) If $c>2$, then

$$\Pi_{(q;q,\ldots,q)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)\subset\Pi_{(p;p,\ldots,p)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F) \tag{7}$$

holds for $1\leq p\leq q<c'$.

It is easy to see that inclusions (6) and (7) are not optimal. So it is natural to ask for the best s for which, under the same assumptions,

$$\Pi_{(q;q,\ldots,q)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)\subset\Pi_{(s;p,\ldots,p)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F).$$

Let us settle this question and get a much better result in this direction. We do so by combining the argument in Theorem 2.3 with the facts mentioned just before Proposition 2.5:

Corollary 2.7. *For $i=1,\ldots,n$, let E_i be a Banach space with cotype $c_i\in[2,\infty]$. Let $1<p_i,q_i<\infty$ with $1/r_i=1/p_i-1/q_i\geq 0$, $p\leq q$ and $\frac{1}{p}=\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{r_i}+\frac{1}{q}$. Assume that*

$$1\leq p_i=q_i \quad \text{if } c_i=\infty,$$

$$1\leq p_i\leq q_i\leq 2 \quad \text{if } c_i=2,$$
$$1\leq p_i\leq q_i<c_i' \quad \text{if } 2<c_i<\infty.$$

Then

$$\Pi_{(q;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)=\Pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F),$$

for all F. In particular, if each $c_i\in[2,\infty)$ and $1\leq p\leq q<\min_i\{c_i'\}$, then

$$\Pi_{(q;q,\ldots,q)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)=\Pi_{(\frac{qp}{n(q-p)+p};p,\ldots,p)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$$

and

$$\Pi_{(q;q,\ldots,q)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)\subset\Pi_{(p;p,\ldots,p)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F).$$

Less general versions of Corollary 2.7 were recently proved by A. T. Bernardino [2, Theorem 2.1] and D. Popa [25, Corollaries 3 and 4].

3 Summability of all multilinear forms

We are now interested in understanding when **all** multilinear maps satisfy certain summability conditions, that is, when

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n; F) = \Pi_{(q;q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_n)}(E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n; F)$$

for some values of $0 \leq 1/q_1 + \cdots + 1/q_n - 1/q < n-1$ and some Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n, F$.

As we shall see in this section, the consideration of projective tensor products is quite profitable for our purposes. Recall that by $E_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n$ we mean the completed projective tensor product of the Banach spaces $E_1, \ldots, E_n$.

Theorem 3.1. *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n = 2m$ if n is even and $n = 2m+1$ if n is odd. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let E_j be a Banach space and let $1 \leq r_j < \infty$, $p_1, \ldots, p_m > 0$ be such that $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m > m-1$ and*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_{2i-1}, E_{2i}; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(p_i;r_{2i-1},r_{2i})}(E_{2i-1}, E_{2i}; \mathbb{K})$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$.
(i) *If n is even, then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(p;r_1,\ldots,r_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

whenever $1/p \leq 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m - m + 1$.
(ii) *If n is odd, then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(q;r_1,\ldots,r_n)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}),$$

whenever $1/p \leq 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m - m + 1$ and $1/q \leq 1/r_{2m+1} + 1/p - 1$.

Proof. (i) Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m}; \mathbb{K})$. Using the associativity of the projective tensor norm π it is easy to see that there is an m-linear mapping $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_2, \ldots, E_{2m-1} \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_{2m}; \mathbb{K})$ such that

$$B(x^1 \otimes x^2, \ldots, x^{2m-1} \otimes x^{2m}) = A(x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^{2m-1}, x^{2m}),$$

for all $x^j \in E_j$. Using the Defant-Voigt and the inclusion theorems, we get that

$$B \in \Pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_m)}(E_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_2, \ldots, E_{2m-1} \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_{2m}; \mathbb{K}).$$

From Lemma 3.4 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left|A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^{2m})\right|^p\right)^{1/p} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left|B(x_j^1 \otimes x_j^2, \ldots, x_j^{2m-1} \otimes x_j^{2m})\right|^p\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \pi_{(p;p_1,\ldots,p_m)}(B) \left\|(x_j^1 \otimes x_j^2)_{j=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{\ell_{p_1}^w(E_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_2)} \cdots \left\|(x_j^{2m-1} \otimes x_j^{2m})_{j=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{\ell_{p_m}^w(E_{2m-1} \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_{2m})} \\ &\leq C \left\|B\right\| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \left\|(x_j^i)_{j=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{\ell_{r_i}^w(E_i)}\end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{r_i}^w(E_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, 2m = n$. Then $A \in \Pi_{(p;r_1,\ldots,r_{2m})}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m}; \mathbb{K})$.

(ii) Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m}, E_{2m+1}; \mathbb{K})$. From (i) we know that

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m}; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(p;r_1,\ldots,r_{2m})}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m}; \mathbb{K}).$$

Then, by [10, Corollary 3.2] we get that

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m+1}; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(p;r_1,\ldots,r_{2m},1)}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m+1}; \mathbb{K}).$$

Hence, $A \in \Pi_{(p;r_1,\ldots,r_{2m},1)}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m+1}; \mathbb{K})$. Using the Inclusion Theorem 1.1 once again we conclude that $A \in \Pi_{(q;r_1,\ldots,r_{2m},r)}(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m+1}; \mathbb{K})$ for any $p \leq q$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$ such that $1/p - 1/q \geq 1/r'$. Choose $r = r_{2m+1}$ to complete the proof. $\square$

Applying the previous result to the case $p_i = 1$, $E_i = E$ and $r_i = r$ for any values of i, one obtains that if $\mathcal{L}(^2E; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;r,r)}(^2E; \mathbb{K})$ then

$$\mathcal{L}(^{2n}E; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;r,\ldots,r)}(^{2n}E; \mathbb{K}) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}(^{2n+1}E; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(r;r,\ldots,r)}(^{2n+1}E; \mathbb{K})$$

We can actually improve a bit the result by imposing conditions on trilinear maps.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and E be a Banach space. If $\mathcal{L}(^2E; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;r,r)}(^2E; \mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{L}(^3E; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;r,r,r)}(^3E; \mathbb{K})$, then*

$$\mathcal{L}(^nE; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;r,\ldots,r)}(^nE; \mathbb{K})$$

for every $n \geq 2$.

Proof. We have already proved the case n even. Let us consider the case n odd and proceed by induction. Suppose that the result is valid for a fixed k odd. Let us prove that it is also true for $k+2$. Given $A \in \mathcal{L}(^{k+2}E; \mathbb{K})$, let $F = E\hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi E$ (k times, that is $F = \hat{\otimes}_\pi^k E$) and $G = E\hat{\otimes}_\pi E$. From the associativity properties of the projective norm there is a bilinear form $B \in \mathcal{L}(F, G; \mathbb{K})$ so that

$$B(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^k, x^{k+1} \otimes x^{k+2}) = A(x^1, \ldots, x^{k+2}),$$

for all $x^j \in E$, $j = 1, \ldots, k+2$. Using (as in the previous theorem) the Defant-Voigt Theorem and Lemma 3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left|A(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^{k+2})\right| = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left|B(x_j^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_j^k, x_j^{k+1} \otimes x_j^{k+2})\right| \\
&\quad \leq \pi_{(1;1,1)}(B) \cdot \left\|(x_j^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_1^w(E\hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi E)} \cdot \left\|(x_j^{k+1} \otimes x_j^{k+2})_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_1^w(E\hat{\otimes}_\pi E)} \\
&\quad \leq \|B\| \left(C_1 \left\|(x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_r^w(E)} \cdots \left\|(x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_r^w(E)}\right) \left(C_2 \left\|(x_j^{k+1})_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_r^w(E)} \cdot \left\|(x_j^{k+2})_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_r^w(E)}\right) \\
&\quad = C_1 C_2 \|B\| \cdot \left\|(x_j^1)_j\right\|_{\ell_r^w(E)} \cdots \left\|(x_j^{k+2})_j\right\|_{\ell_r^w(E)},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_r^w(E)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k+2$, and certain positive constants C_1 and C_2. $\square$

The next results relate the summability of all multilinear forms with the projective tensor product of vector valued sequences spaces.

Definition 3.3. Let $\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{q_n}\geq\frac{1}{p}$. We say that $A\in\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$ is *weakly* $(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)$*-summing* if the induced mapping $\widehat{A}\colon \ell_{q_1}^w(E_1)\times\ell_{q_2}^w(E_2)\times\cdots\times\ell_{q_n}^w(E_n)\longrightarrow \ell_p^w(F)$ is well-defined (hence n-linear and bounded). The space formed by these mappings is denoted by $\Pi_{w(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$ and the weakly $(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)$-summing norm $\pi_{w(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}(A)$ of A is defined analogously to (1).

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{q_n}\geq\frac{1}{p}$, $C>0$ *and let* $E_1,\ldots,E_n$ *be Banach spaces. The following are equivalent:*
(i) $\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K})=\Pi_{(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K})$ *and* $\pi_{(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}\leq C\|\cdot\|$.
(ii) $\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)=\Pi_{w(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$ *for every Banach space* F *and* $\pi_{w(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}\leq C\|\cdot\|$.
(iii) *For all sequences* $(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty\in\ell_{q_i}^w(E_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$,

$$\|(x_j^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_p^w(E_1\hat{\otimes}_\pi\cdots\hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n)}\leq C\prod_{i=1}^n\|(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{q_i}^w(E_i)}.$$

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Let $A\in\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;F)$. If $(x_j^k)_{j=1}^\infty\in\ell_{q_k}^w(E_k)$, $k=1,\ldots,n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\varphi\in B_{F'}}\left(\sum_j\left|\varphi(A(x_j^1,\ldots,x_j^n))\right|^p\right)^{1/p} &\leq \sup_{\varphi\in B_{F'}}\pi_{(p;q_1,\ldots,q_n)}(\varphi\circ A)\left\|(x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_{q_1}^w(E_1)}\cdots\left\|(x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_{q_n}^w(E_n)}\\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi\in B_{F'}}C\left\|\varphi\circ A\right\|\left\|(x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_{q_1}^w(E_1)}\cdots\left\|(x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_{q_n}^w(E_n)}\\ &\leq C\left\|A\right\|\left\|(x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_{q_1}^w(E_1)}\cdots\left\|(x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_{q_n}^w(E_n)}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) Take $F=E_1\hat{\otimes}_\pi\cdots\hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n$ and $A\colon E_1\times\cdots\times E_n\longrightarrow E_1\hat{\otimes}_\pi\cdots\hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n$ given by $A(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=x_1\otimes\cdots\otimes x_n$.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Given $A\in\mathcal{L}(E_1,\ldots,E_n;\mathbb{K})$, its linearization $T\colon E_1\hat{\otimes}_\pi\cdots\hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n\longrightarrow\mathbb{K}$ is bounded and then $\widehat{T}\colon\ell_p^w(E_1\hat{\otimes}_\pi\cdots\hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n)\longrightarrow\ell_p$ is bounded. Now, given sequences $(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty\in\ell_{q_i}^w(E_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(A(x_j^1,\ldots,x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty\|_p &= \|(T(x_j^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x_j^n))_{j=1}^\infty\|_p=\|\widehat{T}((x_j^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty)\|_p\\ &\leq \|\widehat{T}\|\cdot\|(x_j^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_p^w(E_1\hat{\otimes}_\pi\cdots\hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n)}\\ &\leq C\|T\|\prod_{i=1}^n\|(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{q_i}^w(E_i)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *Let* E_1,E_2 *be Banach spaces,* $p_1,p_2\geq1$ *and* $1\leq1/p_1+1/p_2<2$. *The following statements are equivalent.*
(i) $\ell_{p_1}^w(E_1)\hat{\otimes}_\pi\ell_{p_2}^w(E_2)\subset\ell_1^w(E_1\hat{\otimes}_\pi E_2)$.
(ii) $\Pi_{(1;p_1,p_2)}(E_1,E_2;\mathbb{K})=\mathcal{L}(E_1,E_2;\mathbb{K})$.

(iii) $C_{p_2',p_1}(E_1;E_2') = \mathcal{L}(E_1;E_2')$.
(iv) $C_{p_1',p_2}(E_2;E_1') = \mathcal{L}(E_2;E_1')$.

Proof. (i) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (ii) is a particular case of Theorem 3.4. (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii) is immediate. To see the equivalence with (i) and (iv) just notice that for arbitrary Banach spaces E and F, $E\hat{\otimes}_\pi F$ is isometrically isomorphic to $F\hat{\otimes}_\pi E$, and then apply (iii). $\square$

We finish this section by pointing out some connections between the Littlewood-Orlicz property and the equality $\mathcal{L}(E_1,E_2;\mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;p_1,p_2)}(E_1,E_2;\mathbb{K})$. Actually this can be done in a bit more general context.

Definition 3.6. Let $2 \le q < \infty$. We say that a Banach space E satisfies the *q-Littlewood-Orlicz property* if $\ell_1^w(E) \subset \ell_q\langle E\rangle$.

Note that, due to Talagrand's result, spaces with the q-Littlewood-Orlicz property for $q > 2$ must have cotype q.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $2 \le q < \infty$ and E be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *E' has the q-Littlewood-Orlicz property.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{L}(X,E;\mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;1,q')}(X,E;\mathbb{K})$ for any Banach space X.*
(iii) *$\ell_1^w(X)\hat{\otimes}_\pi\ell_{q'}^w(E) \subset \ell_1^w(X\hat{\otimes}_\pi E)$ for any Banach space X.*

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Let $A\colon X \times E \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a bounded bilinear form and let $T_A\colon X \longrightarrow E'$ be the associated linear operator. For $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_1^w(X)$ and $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{q'}^w(E)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^\infty |A(x_j,y_j)| &= \sum_{j=1}^\infty |T_A(x_j)(y_j)| \\
&= \sup_{|\alpha_j|=1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^\infty T_A(x_j)(\alpha_j y_j) \right| \\
&\le \|(T_A(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_q\hat{\otimes}_\pi E'} \cdot \|(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{q'}^w(E)} \\
&\le C\|(T_A(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_1^w(E')} \cdot \|(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{q'}^w(E)} \\
&\le C\|A\| \cdot \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_1^w(X)} \cdot \|(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{q'}^w(E)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Let $(x_j')_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_1^w(E')$ be given. Consider the bounded bilinear form $A\colon c_0 \times E \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by the condition $A(e_j,x) = x_j'(x)$ for $x \in E$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. To show that $(x_j')_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_q\langle E'\rangle$ it suffices to check that there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_j'(x_j)| \le C\|(x_j)_j\|_{\ell_{q'}^w(E)}$$

for every $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{q'}^w(E)$. Using $X = c_0$ in the assumption, this follows from

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_j'(x_j)| = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |A(e_j,x_j)| \le \|A\| \cdot \|(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_1^w(c_0)} \cdot \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{q'}^w(E)}.$$

(ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii) This is a particular case of Proposition 3.5. $\square$

Theorem 3.8. *Let $2 \leq q < \infty$ and E be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent.*
(i) *E has the q-Littlewood-Orlicz property.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{L}(X, E; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;q',1)}(X, E; \mathbb{K})$ for any Banach space X.*
(iii) *$\mathcal{L}(E; X) = C_{q,1}(E; X)$ for any dual Banach space X.*
(iv) *$\ell_{q'}^w(X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_1^w(E) \subset \ell_1^w(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi E)$ for any Banach space X.*

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, E; \mathbb{K})$ and let $T_A : X \to E'$ be its associated linear map. Let $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{q'}^w(X)$ and $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_1^w(E)$. From (i) one has that $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_q\langle E\rangle$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^\infty |A(x_j, y_j)| = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\langle T_A(x_j), y_j\rangle| &= \sup_{|\alpha_j|=1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle T_A(\alpha_j x_j), y_j\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \|(T_A(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{q'}^w(E')} \cdot \|(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_q\langle E\rangle} \\ &\leq \|A\| \cdot \|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_{q'}^w(X)} \cdot \|(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\ell_1^w(E)}.\end{aligned}$$

The equivalences (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iv) have been shown in Proposition 3.5.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Apply to the assumption $X = E''$ and the identity operator. ☐

Next we present a technique to lift $(n-1)$-linear coincidences to n-linear coincidences. A few definitions are in order: by $Rad(E)$ we denote the space of sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in E such that

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{Rad(E)} := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j x_j \right\|_{L^2([0,1],E)} < \infty,$$

where $(r_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ are the usual Rademacher functions. According to [6, 7] (see [16, Chapter 12] for the linear case), a multilinear map $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ is said to be *almost summing* if the induced map $\widehat{A}\colon \ell_2^u(E_1) \times \cdots \times \ell_2^u(E_n) \longrightarrow Rad(F)$ is well-defined (hence n-linear and bounded). We write $\Pi_{as}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; F)$ for the space of all such multilinear maps.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be Banach spaces such that*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1}; E_n') = \Pi_{as}(E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1}; E_n').$$

(i) *Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;2,\ldots,2,1)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}).$$

(ii) *If E_n' is a GT-space of cotype 2, then*

$$\mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) = \Pi_{(1;2,\ldots,2)}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K}).$$

Proof. Given $A \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$, define $A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1}; E_n')$ in the obvious way, that is,

$$A_{n-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})(x_n) = A(x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$

By assumption, $A_{n-1} \in \Pi_{as}(E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}; E_n')$. Let $(x_j^i)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell_2^u(E_i)$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$ and $(x_j^n)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell_1^u(E_n)$ be given. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m$ such that $|\lambda_j| = 1$ and we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |A(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^n)| = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{n-1}(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{n-1})(\lambda_j x_j^n)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^m \left[(A_{n-1}(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{n-1}) r_j(t)\right] \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_j^n r_j(t)\right) dt$$

$$\le \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (A_{n-1}(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{n-1}) r_j(t) \right\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_j^n r_j(t) \right\| dt$$

$$\le \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (A_{n-1}(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{n-1}) r_j(t) \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_j^n r_j(t) \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le C \|A_{n-1}\| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\|(x_j^i)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_2^w(E_i)} \cdot \left\|(x_j^n)_{j=1}^\infty\right\|_{\ell_1^w(E_n)}.$$

This shows part (i).

The proof of (ii) follows easily using the characterization of E' being a GT-space of cotype 2 (see [1, Theorem 1] and [17]) in terms of the fact $Rad(E') = \ell_2 \hat{\otimes} E'$ with equivalent norms.

Hence given $(x_j^i)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell_2^w(E_i)$ for $1 \le i \le n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m$ such that $|\lambda_j| = 1$, as above we now can write, using the duality $\ell_2^w(E) \subset (\ell_2 \hat{\otimes} E')'$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^m |A(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^n)| &= \sum_{j=1}^m A_{n-1}(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{n-1})(\lambda_j x_j^n) \\
&\le \left\| \Big(A_{n-1}(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{n-1}) \Big)_j \right\|_{\ell_2 \hat{\otimes} E_n'} \cdot \left\|(\lambda_j x_j^n)_j\right\|_{\ell_2^w(E_n)} \\
&\le C \left\| \Big(A_{n-1}(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{n-1}) \Big)_j \right\|_{Rad(E_n')} \cdot \left\|(\lambda_j x_j^n)_j\right\|_{\ell_2^w(E_n)} \\
&\le C \|A_{n-1}\| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \left\|(\lambda_j x_j^i)_j\right\|_{\ell_2^w(E_n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.

□

References

[1] J. L. Arregui and O. Blasco, (p,q)-*Summing sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **274** (2002), 812–827.

[2] A. T. Bernardino, *On cotype and a Grothendieck-type theorem for absolutely summing multilinear operators*, Quaest. Math. **34** (2011), 513–519.

[3] O. Blasco, G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino and P. Rueda, *Summability of multilinear mappings: Littlewood, Orlicz and Beyond,* Monatsh. Math., **163** (2011), 131–147.

[4] O. Blasco, J Fourie and I. Schoeman, *On operator valued sequences of multipliers and R-boundedness.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (1) (2007), 7–23.

[5] G. Botelho, *Cotype and absolutely summing multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **97** (1997), 145–153.

[6] G. Botelho, *Almost summing polynomials*, Math. Nachr. **212** (2000), 25–36.

[7] G. Botelho, H.-A. Braunss and H. Junek, *Almost p-summing polynomials and multilinear mappings*, Arch. Math. **76** (2001), 109–118.

[8] G. Botelho, C. Michels and D. Pellegrino, *Complex interpolation and summability properties of multilinear operators*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **23** (2010), 139–161.

[9] G. Botelho and D. Pellegrino, *Scalar-valued dominated polynomials on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 1743–1751.

[10] G. Botelho and D. Pellegrino, *Coincidence situations for absolutely summing non-linear mappings*, Port. Math. **64** (2007), 176–191.

[11] Q. Bu, *On Banach spaces verifying Grothendieck's Theorem*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), 738– 748.

[12] Q. Bu and J. Diestel, *Observations about the projective tensor product of Banach spaces, I. $\ell_p\hat{\otimes}X$.* Quaest. Math. **24**(4) (2001), 519–533.

[13] J. S. Cohen, *Absolutely p-summing, p-nuclear operators and their conjugates*, Math. Ann. **201** (1973), 177–200.

[14] A. Defant, K. Floret, *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, North-Holland (1993).

[15] A. Defant, D. García, M. Maestre and D. Pérez-García, *Bohr's strip for vector valued Dirichlet series,* Math. Ann. **342** (2008), 533–555.

[16] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[17] J. H. Fourie and I. Röntgen, *Banach space sequences and projective tensor products*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **277**(2) (2003), 629–644.

[18] H. Junek, M. Matos and D. Pellegrino, *Inclusion theorems for absolutely summing holomorphic mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 3983-3991.

[19] N. Kalton and L. Weis, *The H^∞-calculus and sums of closed operators*, Math. Ann. **321**(2) (2001), 319–345.

[20] Y. Meléndez and A. Tonge, *Polynomials and the Pietsch Domination Theorem*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad **99A** (1999), 195-212.

[21] D. Pérez-García, *Operadores multilineales absolutamente sumantes*, Thesis, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 2003.

[22] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 20, 1980.

[23] A. Pietsch, *Ideals of multilinear functionals (designs of a theory)*, Proceedings of the second international conference on operator algebras, ideals, and their applications in theoretical physics (Leipzig, 1983), 185–199, Teubner-Texte Math., 67, Teubner, Leipzig, 1984.

[24] D. Popa, *Multilinear variants of Maurey and Pietsch theorems and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **368** (2010) 157–168.

[25] D. Popa, *A new distinguishing feature for summing, versus dominated and multiple summing operators*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **96** (2011) 455–462.

[26] M. Talagrand, *Cotype of operators from C(K)*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), 1-40.

[27] M. Talagrand, *Cotype and (q,1)-summing norm in a Banach space,* Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 545-556.

[Oscar Blasco] Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Valencia, 46.100 Burjasot - Valencia, Spain, e-mail: oscar.blasco@uv.es

[Geraldo Botelho] Faculdade de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, 38.400-902 - Uberlândia, Brazil, e-mail: botelho@ufu.br

[Daniel Pellegrino] Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil, e-mail: dmpellegrino@gmail.com

[Pilar Rueda] Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Valencia, 46.100 Burjasot - Valencia, Spain, e-mail: pilar.rueda@uv.es